

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

REMARKS

Rejection of Claims 1-3, 5, and 14 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) based on *Borland* (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,824).

5 The invention of amended claim 1 is directed to an integrated circuit device that includes a programmable portion with a plurality of circuits that may be configured by a user of the integrated circuit device. The integrated circuit device also includes at least one communication portion. The communication portion includes at least one circuit block manufactured to perform a predetermined data communication function including converting received first data values into
10 second data values.

As is well known, a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single reference.

The cited reference *Borland* does not teach at least one communication portion with a circuit block that converts received first data values into second data values. *Borland* teaches an
15 integrated circuit with input/output (I/O) programmable logic. Such an integrated circuit also has other portions, including a main functional unit, a memory, and a direct memory access (DMA) controller.¹ However, these other portions are never described as including, expressly or inherently, a circuit block as recited in claim 1.

A main functional unit of *Borland* is described as ranging from a processor to an
20 interconnected system of processing modules.² While various functions for the main functional unit are mentioned, conversion of data values is not described or suggested.³ Thus, the main functional unit of *Borland* does not show or suggested a circuit block as set forth in claim 1.

The remaining sections of the integrated circuit of *Borland* do not show or suggest all limitations of claim 1, either. The DMA controller of *Borland* is described only as fulfilling I/O
25 requests.⁴ Similarly, the I/O programmable logic of *Borland* is described only as controlling

¹ See *Borland*, FIG. 2, which shows main functional unit 200, memory 210, DMA controller 220 and I/O programmable logic unit 230.

² See *Borland*, Col. 3, Lines 60-63.

³ See *Borland*, Col. 3, which describes the function of: computing functions for fulfilling an I/O request, reading and storing configurations programmable I/O logic, programming the programmable logic, and program and operate a DMA controller from a memory. No mention of made of converting data values.

⁴ See *Borland*, Col. 4, Lines 24-26.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

transmission of data to and from I/O pads, and thus does not show or suggest data conversion.⁵ Finally, the memory of *Borland* is described as storing a number of configurations for I/O programmable logic, without mention of any data conversion.⁶

Accordingly, because, none of the integrated circuit elements of *Borland* shows all the
5 limitations of amended claim 1, this ground of rejection is traversed.

Claim 2, which depends from claim 1, includes additional limitations not shown in the cited reference. Claim 2 adds that a programmable portion comprises a programmable interconnect portion and a logic gate portion. *Borland* describes an integrated circuit that may include conductive lines and transistors.⁷ However, such teachings are evidence of some sort of
10 interconnect, but do not show a programmable interconnect portion, as clearly recited in claim 2. Clarification of where such a limitation is shown in the references is respectfully requested.

For all of these reasons, the rejection of claims 1-3, 5, and 14 is traversed.

Rejection of Claim 4 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on *Borland* in view of *Jefferson* (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,865).
15

Claim 4, which depends from claim 1, adds a timing circuit that receives a clock signal and generates an internal clock signal. The internal clock signal is phase shifted with respect to the clock signal.

As is well understood, to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, a rejection must
20 meet three basic criteria. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation to modify a reference or combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference(s) must teach or suggest all claim limitations.

To the extent that this rejection relies on *Borland*, the comments set forth above for claim 1 are incorporated by reference herein. Namely, that *Borland* does not show at least one circuit
25 block manufactured to perform a predetermined data communication function including converting received first data values into second data values.

Such a limitation is also lacking from the remaining cited reference *Jefferson*. *Jefferson* is directed to a delay compensated clock signal for a programmable logic device. The reference does not show or suggest a communication portion with a circuit block as recited in claim 1. In

⁵ See *Borland*, Col. 4, Lines 19-21.

⁶ See *Borland*, Col.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

fact, *Jefferson* is believed to teach away from the invention, as it represents a conventional case in which a PLD includes programmable logic circuits, and does not dedicate particular circuit blocks to predetermined functions,⁸ like the data communication functions as recited in claim 1.

Accordingly, because the combination of *Borland* in view of *Jefferson* does not show all
5 the limitations of claim 4, this ground for rejection traversed.

Rejection of Claim 12 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on *Borland* in view of *Jefferson* and further in view of *Reddy et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,942,914).

Claim 12, which depends from claim 6, adds the limitation that each communication
10 portion includes a data multiplexer (MUX) that enables a data path between one of a plurality of inputs and a data MUX output, each data operation circuit being coupled to an input of the data MUX.

It is admitted that the references *Borland* and *Jefferson* do not show or suggest a data MUX.⁹ However, such a limitation is not shown in last reference *Reddy et al.*, either.

According to claim 12, each data operation circuit is coupled to an input of a data MUX.
15 Thus, the invention of claim 12 recites an arrangement in which two data operation circuits can provide input values to a data MUX. This is in sharp contrast to *Reddy et al.*, which shows multiplexers having inputs connected to global conductor lines and outputs connected to logic array blocks (LABs).¹⁰ In short, *Reddy et al.* teaches multiplexers that provide data to circuit
20 portions (e.g., LABs), while Applicants' claim 12 recites an arrangement in which a data MUX can receive data from data operation circuits.

Accordingly, because the cited reference does not show or suggest all limitations of claim 12, this ground for rejection is traversed.

25

⁷ See *Borland*, Col. 3, Lines 21-34.

⁸ See *Jefferson*, FIG. 2, which shows a PLD having numerous programmable portions, but no portions dedicated to providing any particular function, let alone a data communication function.

⁹ See the Office Action, dated 7/3/02, Page 4, Lines 9-12.

¹⁰ See *Reddy et al.*, FIG. 5, which shows global conductor lines 302 connected to inputs of multiplexers 306. Outputs of multiplexers 306 are connected to LABs.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Claim 1 has been amended. The present claims 1-23 are believed to be in allowable form. It is respectfully requested that the application be forwarded for allowance and issue.

5

Respectfully Submitted,

Bradley T. Sako 9/26/2002
Bradley T. Sako
Attorney
Reg. No. 37,923

Bradley T. Sako
WALKER & SAKO, LLP
300 South First Street
Suite 235
San Jose, CA 95113
Tel. 1-408-289-5315

10

FAX COPY RECEIVED
SEP 26 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEVersion With Markings to Show Changes MadeIn the Claims.

5 1. (Amended) An integrated circuit device, comprising:

 a programmable portion comprising a plurality of circuits that may be
 configured by a user of the integrated circuit device; and

 at least one communication portion comprising at least one circuit
10 block manufactured to perform a predetermined data communication
 function including converting received first data values into second
 data values.

15